

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/505,328 Examiner Jennifer Dunston	KIM ET AL. Art Unit 1636	

**All Participants:**

(1) *Jennifer Dunston*. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) *David Clough*. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 January 2010

**Time:** 12:01 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*5, 6 and 8.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jennifer Dunston/ Examiner, Art Unit 1636

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An amendment to put claims 5-8 in proper dependent form was discussed. Approval for the Examiner's amendment was obtained. The typographical error in the ADS filed 8/23/2004 was discussed. Applicant's representative agreed to submit a supplemental ADS correcting the filing date of PCT/KR2002/002033.